## Suggested solution of Midterm

1. (a) Suppose  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of closed and bounded interval such that  $I_{n+1} \subset I_n$ for all  $n$ . Then

$$
\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset.
$$

(b) Suppose  $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a bounded sequence. Let  $M > 0$  such that  $|x_n| \leq M$ . When  $k = 1$ , define  $a_1 = -M$ ,  $c_1 = M$ ,  $b_1 = (a_1 + c_1)/2$  and  $I_1 = [a_1, c_1]$ . Suppose we have defined  $a_k, c_k$  such that  $I_k = [a_k, b_k]$  contains infinity many  $x_n$ . Denotes  $b_k = (a_k + c_k)/2$ . Either  $[a_k, b_k]$  or  $[b_k, c_k]$  contains infinity many  $x_n$ . If  $[a_k, b_k]$  does, define  $I_{k+1} = [a_k, b_k], a_{k+1} = a_k$  and  $c_{k+1} = b_k$ . Otherwise, we define  $I_{k+1} = [b_k, c_k],$  $a_{k+1} = b_k$  and  $c_{k+1} = c_k$ .

By construction,  $I_k$  is a sequence of nested interval which is bounded and closed. By Nested interval theorem,

$$
\{\bar{x}\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n.
$$

$$
|I_n| = \frac{M}{2^{n-2}} \to 0.
$$

since we have

On the other hand, each 
$$
I_k
$$
 has infinity many element from  $\{x_n\}$ . Therefore, we can pick a sequence  $x_{n_k} \in I_k$ . It converges to  $\bar{x}$  since

$$
|x_{n_k} - \bar{x}| \le |I_k| \to 0.
$$

(c) If  $\{x_n\}$  is cauchy, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is N such that for all  $n, m \geq N$ ,

$$
|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon.
$$

In particular, take  $\epsilon = 1$ , we have for all  $n > N_1$ ,

$$
|x_n - x_N| \le 1.
$$

And hence  $\{x_k\}$  is a bounded sequence. By above, there is  $\bar{x}$  and a subsequence  ${x_{n_k}}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \to \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is N such that for all  $m, k > N, (n_m \geq m)$ 

$$
|x_{n_m} - x_k|, |x_{n_m} - \bar{x}| < \epsilon/2.
$$

Hence it implies

$$
|x_k - \bar{x}| < \epsilon.
$$

If  $x_n \to \bar{x}$ , then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is N such that for all  $n > N$ ,  $|x_n - \bar{x}| < \epsilon/2$ . Hence, for all  $m, n > N$ ,

$$
|x_n - x_m| \le |x_n - \bar{x}| + |\bar{x} - x_m| < \epsilon.
$$

(a) By Q1, if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges, then for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is N such that for  $n, m > N$ ,

$$
\sum_{k=n}^{m} |a_k| < \epsilon.
$$

Hence, it follows from the triangle inequality that

$$
\left|\sum_{k=n}^m a_k\right| \le \sum_{k=n}^m |a_k| < \epsilon.
$$

By Q1 again (cauchy criterion),  $\{\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k\}_N$  is convergent.

(b) By Q1 (cauchy criterion), For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is N such that for all  $m > n > N$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{m}$  $_{k=n}^{m} y_k < \epsilon$ . As  $0 \leq x_k \leq y_k$ ,

$$
\sum_{k=n}^{m} x_k < \epsilon.
$$

Thus,  $\{s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k\}$  is cauchy implying the convergence.

2. (a) Denote  $r = (1 + a)^{-1}$  where  $a > 0$ . Using  $(1 + a)^n \ge 1 + na$ , we have

$$
r^n = \frac{1}{(1+a)^n} \le \frac{1}{an}.
$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , then for all  $n > N = \left[\frac{1}{a\epsilon}\right] + 1$ ,

$$
r^n\leq \frac{1}{an}<\epsilon.
$$

(b) Denote  $r^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{1+r}$  $\frac{1}{1+\sigma_n}$ . Then

$$
r = \frac{1}{(1 + \sigma_n)^n} \le \frac{1}{1 + n\sigma_n}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\sigma_n \le \frac{1-r}{rn}.
$$

And hence for  $\epsilon > 0$ , for all  $n > N = \left[\frac{1-r}{r\epsilon}\right] + 1$ , we have

$$
\left|\frac{1}{1+\sigma_n}-1\right|=\frac{\sigma_n}{1+\sigma_n}\leq \frac{1-r}{rn}<\epsilon.
$$

3. (a)

$$
\left|\frac{x+1}{x^2-3} - 3\right| = |x-2| \left|\frac{3x+5}{x^2-3}\right|.
$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, then we may choose  $\delta = \min\{0.1, \epsilon/100\}$ . Then for all  $0 <$  $|x-2|<\delta,$ 

$$
|x-2| \left| \frac{3x+5}{x^2-3} \right| \le 100|x-2| < \epsilon.
$$

(b) Let  $M > 0$ , pick  $\delta = \min\{1, 5M^{-1}\}\$ . Then for all  $3 - \delta < x < 3$ ,

$$
\frac{x^2+1}{x-3} \le \frac{5}{x-3} < -M.
$$

4. By assumption, take  $\epsilon = 1$ , we obtain  $\delta_1$  so that for all  $x \in A$  where  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_1$ , for  $i = 1, 2,$ 

$$
|f_i(x)| \le |f_i(x) - l_i| + |l_i| < |l_i| + 1.
$$

Denote  $M = |l_1| + |l_2| + 2$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\epsilon, M)$  such that for all  $x \in A$  where  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_2$ , we have

$$
|f_i(x) - l_i| < \frac{\epsilon}{4M}
$$

.

Hence, for the same  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $x \in A$  where  $0 < |x - c| < \min{\delta_1, \delta_2}$ , we have

$$
|f_1 f_2 - l_1 l_2| \le |f_2(x)| |f_1(x) - l_1| + |l_1||f_2(x) - l_2|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le M |f_1(x) - l_1| + M |f_2(x) - l_2|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
$$